The Matter Content of the Universe II

 Searches for Dark Matter • Direct searches Cosmological constraints LHC searches for SUSY DM

WIMP Dark Matter

- Weakly interacting massive particles are the most favoured DM candidate
- Occur in many models, including a wide range of supersymmetric scenarios
- For CDM, freeze-out occurs when particles are non-relativistic. Expect DM in Galaxy to be moving at same speed as baryons – about 220 kms⁻¹



Freezeout of dark matter depends on mass and annihilation cross-section.

Consider case for massive WIMP, (non-rel) with weak interaction cross-section

2/4/13 Astroparticle

WIMP masses in range 100 GeV-1 TeV, with normal weak interactions, naturally give dark matter densities in the required range. These are exactly what are predicted by supersymmetry models, and other BSM scenarios.

Mass of Dark Matter Particle from Supersymmetry (TeV)



For calculation of particle densities at freezeout see Kolb and Turner, section 5.2

HEPAP LHC/ILC-subpanel Discovering the Quantum Universe http://www.linearcollider.org

Signals for dark matter



Earth passing through DM wind with seasonal modulation of velocity

Look for collisions between DM particles and detectors

Figure from Sheffield HEP group

DM detection principles



Expect O(1) DM particles/litre near Earth -> interaction rate expected to be <1/year/kg of target.

Work deep underground to shield from cosmic rays.

Measure recoil energy as phonons and ionization created in collision between WIMP and detector material.

Since v<<c, energy release is small -> require high sensitivity/ low noise detectors: normally use cryogenic temperatures to limit noise.

Coherent scattering:

 $\sigma \propto A$ for spin 0 target

 \propto J for spin J



DM Backgrounds

- Electrons and photons from environment, especially nuclear beta decays, gives different ratio of ionization/phonons than WIMPs
- Neutrons from cosmic ray showers and nuclear fission – scattering same as for WIMPs, but can shield and veto.

CDMS detector, Soudan, USA



Stacks of segmented germanium detectors (230 gm):

-detect ionization by drifting charge to surface in electric field

- Phonons break Cooper pairs in superconducting Al layer on surface. Resulting heat changes resistance, which is then measured as a current pulse.

Segmentation allows time of arrival of the two signals to be measured, locating the region of detector which was hit. Outer ring of detector read out separately to veto events near edges. "Surface events" give reduced ionization but faster phonon pulse.





10 mK crysostat constructed of radiopure copper to avoid background. Lead shield from ballast of 18th century French ship. Polyethelyne shield to moderate neutrons. Active muon shield.





CDMS calibration data



 \square

CDMS data



Data from two different detectors: two signal events observed, but not sufficient to claim signal. Used to set limit on DM cross-section. http://cdms.berkeley.edu/0912/3592v1.pdfcle

Signal from CoGeNT detector



Single Ge crystal operating with much lower threshold than CDMS.

Arrows show expected nuclear levels.

Rise in rate below 1 keV matches signal expected for Wimp signal with a mass of 7 GeV/ c^2



DAMA/Libra results





arXiv:astro-ph/0307403v1

Uses 100 kg scintillator crystals to look for annual modulation of event rate. No background subtraction. I I years of data. 8.2 sigma effect. Model independent method. Result claimed to be

incompatible with expected dark matter rate – see eg http://arxiv.org/pdf/ 0807.0879v4

>55 papers on arxiv proposing explanations!

Time (day)



Modulation of CoGeNT data



CoGeNT data shows annual modulation similar to DAMA, about 1 month earlier, but within errors.

DAMA data has expected phase for DM signal.

Xenon detectors

XENON10 and ZEPLIN detectors use Xe liquid as detectors, producing scintillation light and ionization signals. Can locate interaction point in liquid -> no surface events. Competitive with CDMS but easier to scale up to large masses?





View of events in Xe from photomultipliers

Summary of direct DM searches





Pamela antimatter search



Look for antimatter flux from WIMP annihilation

2/4/13 Astroparticle



PAMELA telescope p (He,...) S1, S2, S3; double layers, x-y plastic scintillator (8mm) ToF resolution ~300 ps (S1-3 ToF >3 ns) lepton-hadron separation < 1 GeV/c S1.S2.S3 (low rate) / S2.S3 (high rate) Trigger, ToF, dE/dx TOF (\$1) ANTIMATT ANTICOINCIDENCE Permanent magnet, 0.43 T (CARD) ANTICOINCIDENCE • 21.5 cm² sr TOF (S2) 6 planes double-sided silicon strip detectors (300 µm) Sign of charge, 3 µm resolution in bending view ⇒ MDR SPECTROMETER rigidity, dE/dx ~800 GV (6 plane) ~500 GV (5 plane) ANTICOINCIDENCE (CAS) 44 Si-x / W / Si-y planes (380) **TOF (S3)** • 16.3 X0 / 0.6 L Electron energy, dE/dx, lepton-• dE/E ~5.5 % (10 - 300 GeV) CALORIMETER hadron separation Self trigger > 300 GeV / 600 cm² sr S4 [] NEUTRON DETECTOR - 36 ³He counters - ³He(n,p)T; E_p = 780 keV - 1 cm thick poly + Cd moderator ~470 Kg / ~360 W - 200 µs collection



Pamela events





Pamela signal



 Excess of positrons observed: dark matter or local activity (eg pulsars)?



ATIC signal





Excess of electrons at high energy observed by balloon experiment over Antartica. Rate 200x expected from WMAP DM density.



Fermi data



• Fermi large area telescope does not confirm ATIC result.



SUSY at the LHC

Spin 0 Spin 1/2 $Q \quad (\tilde{u}_L, \tilde{d}_L) \quad (u_L, d_L)$

 u_R^{\dagger}

 $d_{\scriptscriptstyle R}^{\dagger}$

 (v,e_L)

 e_R^{\dagger}

 $(\tilde{H}_{u}^{+},\tilde{H}_{u}^{0})$

 $(ilde{H}^0_d, ilde{H}^-_d)$

 \tilde{u}_R^*

 \tilde{d}_R^*

 (\tilde{v}, \tilde{e}_L)

 \tilde{e}_{R}^{*}

 (H_{u}^{+},H_{u}^{0})

 (H_{d}^{0}, H_{d}^{-})

 \overline{u}

 \overline{d}

 \boldsymbol{L}

 \overline{e}

 H_{u}

 H_d

The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model $R_P = (-1)^{3(B-L)+2s}$ = +1 SM= -1 SUSY



Gluino/gluon		
Winos,	W's	

Bino, B

\tilde{g}	8
$\overline{ ilde{W}^{\pm},} ilde{W}^{0}$	W^{\pm}, W^{0}
$ ilde{B}^0$	B^0



R-parity

R-parity conservation has important consequences for experimental searches:

• any initial state must have $R_P = +1$, so SUSY particles must be produced in pairs. This requires energies of twice the SUSY mass.

•Any SUSY particle decay must be to a state with R_P = -1, and so each final state contains another SUSY particle.

•The lightest SUSY particle (the LSP) must be stable.

•A stable LSP (unless very heavy) must be electrically neutral and weakly interacting to have escaped detection. This is just what is required for dark matter.



ATLAS studies at the LHC





More events expected at high M_{eff} with SUSY

Define an effective mass for inclusive processes:

$$M_{eff} = E_T^{miss} + \sum_{i=1,4} p_T^i$$

Scalar sum over 4 highest p_T jets or leptons and include missing transverse energy.





 $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{R}^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$

Each step in the chain is a two body decay.

The momentum of the outgoing particles is fixed in the rest frame of the parent, and is only a function of the 3 masses.





 $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{R}^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$



The position of the edge depends on the masses of the slepton and the two neutralinos.

$$M_{ll}^{\max} = M(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}) \sqrt{1 - \frac{M^{2}(\tilde{l}_{R})}{M^{2}(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0})}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{M^{2}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})}{M^{2}(\tilde{l}_{R})}}$$
2/4/13

Plot invariant mass of lepton pairs

The leptons in the signal must be of opposite sign and from the same family, since the slepton carries the family information down the chain. Most background comes from processes with unrelated leptons.

eg: WW, or chargino pairs create equal numbers of $\mu\mu$, ee, $e\mu$ and μe events.

Hence most background can be subtracted using opposite sign $e\mu$ pairs.

3 Astroparticle



ATLAS limits on MSSM SUSY models – 2012 data



LHC constraints on DM

- With mass constraints of this form, can estimate mass of missing neutralino.
- Infer dark matter density from LHC measurements of mass and coupling strength: compare to astro data.

Error on $\Omega_{\chi}h^2 \approx 3-9\%$ with 300fb⁻¹ of data

Cf Estimated error from Planck of ~0.42% (both estimates are model dependent)



Summary

- Dark matter experiments are starting to produce constraints on particle physics models.
- First hints of signals, still controversial.
- LHC will explore large areas of SUSY parameters.
- Require concordance between direct observations, and cosmology before we can claim to understand.